2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2014

PROGRAMS: Onsite Sewage (OSS) Permitting and Onsite Operations and Monitoring (O&M) Programs

Objective

Goal

Task

**MISSION:** The mission of the Onsite Sewage Program is to minimize the threat of surface and ground water contamination from failing or improperly designed, installed or maintained onsite sewage systems. The mission of the Operation and Monitoring Program is to protect public health by ensuring onsite sewage systems are monitored, identify failures, and items that may lead to costly premature failures of OSS that contaminate ground and surface waters.

Performance

Goai	Objective Task		Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Planned	Actual
Goal 1: Educate homeowners, builders, real estate personnel, banks, installers, designers and onsite system maintenance personnel in the proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage systems	Develop written informational materials and conduct workshops for the public addressing OSS operation and maintenance,	Conduct OSS operations and maintenance needs and requirements workshops for homeowners & professionals	# of workshops and presentations	19	4	4	20	13	4
	initial inspection, installation of monitoring access risers and homeowner inspection	Issue press releases about OSS training opportunities and general OSS info	# of press releases provided				6	4	4
		Create OSS operation and maintenance information to be included in Monitoring Inspection Reminders	Statements/info created				4	6	4
Goal 2: Ensure a high quality-onsite	Send Monitoring Inspection Reminders to property owners	Create and send inspection reminders	# of reminders sent				800	4,000	0
sewage system monitoring program	Review monitoring and inspection reports, provide timely follow-up and coordinate with online submittal program data  st. w. re	Develop procedure and standards to triage reports	% of OSS receiving monitoring inspection	3.4%	3.4%	3.8%	4.7%	6%	5.1%
		with corrective actions required	Pass/Fail				PASS	PASS	PASS
		Review reports, triage, send follow-up letters/notices	# reports reviewed				641	800	685
			# follow-up letters sent				154	150	156
	Ensure Local Sewage Management Plan is consistent with current status and practices	Update Local Sewage Management Plan to reflect progress and needed	Pass/Fail				FAIL	PASS	FAIL

Goal 3: Investigate complaints and action requests in	Utilize existing data systems to track action requests and complaints <sup>1</sup>	Develop system to quantify response time for complaints within 30 days	Pass/Fail				FAIL	PASS	FAIL
a timely manner to reduce the threat		Create a report to track response time	Pass/Fail				FAIL	PASS	FAIL
of human contact with untreated wastewater			% OSS complaints investigated within 30 days				90%	90%	94%
Goal 4: Document all onsite sewage systems in Jefferson County	e Identify previously unknown onsite sewage systems	Complete sanitary surveys to move sites served by OSS from unknown to known status	# of systems identified	69	100	107	204	120	69*
			% of estimated existing 13,500 systems identified	73%	74%	75%	78%	80%	79%
					1				
Goal 5: Implement the Homeowner Inspection Program adopted in code revisions May 2012 to comply with monitoring requirements under WAC 246- 272A	Establish Homeowner Authorization program	Complete database upgrade incorporating all elements of Homeowner Inspection Authorization	Pass/fail				PASS	PASS	PASS
	Establish online portal for monitoring inspection report submittal	Link database to online report portal	Pass/fail				PASS	PASS	PASS
	Establish access to training programs (Septics 101 and 201) for homeowners to obtain authorization to complete monitoring to complete monitoring to complete to co	Provide trainings and link to online trainings	# of homeowners who take in person and online trainings				410	200	81
		Respond to requests for	# of homeowners authorized				192	150	75
		authorization to inspect by homeowners	# of homeowners that submit inspection reports				15	50	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Complaints include all reports from the public and others regarding onsite sewage issues. These range from reports of someone parking or driving on their drainfield, living on property without a permitted septic system to surfacing sewage.

#### SUMMARY OF KEY FUNDING/SERVICE ISSUES:

Tracking is done with existing data systems to evaluate effectiveness of permitting and monitoring programs. Ongoing O&M activities are funded through state and federal grants as well as the 'filing' fee that is submitted with monitoring inspection reports. Implementation is focused on: follow-up with homeowners on inspection results, implementing the code revisions adopted in May 2012 to allow homeowners to be trained, completing monitoring inspections and report results to meet state requirements for assurance of a properly functioning OSS system. Follow-up with homeowners on inspection results is critical to the understanding of how systems work and why maintenance and proper operation is important. A system for correspondence and follow-up is being implemented. The system allows us to identify different levels of severity and type of problem as well as target problems of a serious nature for additional action. Additionally, an incentive program to assist homeowners in completing inspections and install access risers and monitoring ports was available for all of 2013.

Identification of previously unknown onsite sewage systems is ongoing as part of a project in the Marine Recovery Area (MRA) along the Hood Canal. The state requirement that all unknown systems be identified by 2012 was not met but we are continuing to pursue identification of all unknown systems.

Due to a lack of staffing resources, our goal to complete the Local Management Plan was not met. We continued in 2014 to refine the goals and revisions of the Management Plan in light of changes in Clean Water District funding and legislative action.

Onsite sewage permitting and staffing has declined due to the economic downturn of the past several years. The number of permits received dropped significantly from 20017 to 2011. Activity has increased annually since 2011. Overall, current activity has increased 60% since 2011 with little change to staffing resources. The team continues to strive to maintain effective communication with our clients and partners (other state and local departments) to effectively manage workload and requests for information while diligently reviewing and enforcing county code and policies. Coordination with our Environmental Health Tech is assisting staff in timely review and documentation of activities in the database.

Training and Round Table meetings continue with professionals certified by JCPH. These meetings assist in improving the quality of submittals and offer an opportunity to resolve questions about the codes and challenging site conditions.

#### 2014 STUDY/ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

#### **Septic system monitoring**

#### **Homeowner Authorization**

- It was a successful year for implementing Homeowner Authorization for monitoring septic systems. Although the online system is not yet up, we held 3 classes for 81 individuals with 75 getting the authorization to inspect their OSS. We will continue to provide 'classroom' trainings on a regular basis, at least once a year to accommodate those who prefer that style of learning.
- All classes include information on funding available for repair or upgrade of an OSS as well as the rebate program for access riser and monitoring inspection. These have been very well received.
- Work continues on the online Homeowner Authorization Program. We have very limited access to a database administrator which hampers our ability to move forward on this program. However, one substantial barrier that was present has been removed with the establishment of a fiber optic line to the area.

### Jefferson County Public Health Performance Measures 2014 Year-end Report

### ONSITE SEWAGE (OSS) and SEPTIC OPERATIONS & MONITORING (O&M) PROGRAMS

#### System identification, Reminders and Incentives

- The incentive/rebate program for access risers for your first monitoring inspection by a professional was available during all of 2014. This assists homeowners of previously unknown systems as well as many older systems that have never been inspected. It was not as heavily used as expected. We provided the brochure and application with all letters and sanitary surveys conducted by staff. We are now evaluating additional methods of stimulating use of these funds. We expect the interest to increase when we start sending regular reminders to property owners.
- General Inspection reminders were sent as part of the Sanitary Survey mailers. We anticipate sending site and system specific reminders as soon as the online homeowner authorization program is up and running. This may also stimulate use of the rebate program.
- We did not succeed in identifying all unknown onsite sewage systems by the end of the 2012. Work continues toward this goal into next year by partnering with the Water Quality Department on a project in the lower Duckabush and the Hood Canal Watershed project. The project has effectively identified more than half of the unknown systems in the lower Duckabush River area. Completion of the Sanitary Surveys helps us to identify that a system is on a property but do not generally include a detailed inspection of the system. These systems are tracked separately from systems that have a regular monitoring inspection completed.

#### Septic System Operation - Monitoring Inspection Follow-up and Complaint Investigation

- Monitoring Inspection Reports are reviewed. Follow-up letters were sent to 108 property owners where problems/deficiencies are noted that indicate either imminent failure or identify a violation of the permit. Response to these letters has been excellent and offer an opportunity to give additional information to new property owners. Letters were sent to 48 property owners that did not complete a monitoring inspection prior to property transfer.
- Results from monitoring inspections or complaint investigation- 96 Follow-up inspections by O&M providers were submitted to the online inspection database to document correction of deficiencies/problems that they observed. Of the 46 repair applications that were received, 15 resulted from monitoring inspections and 2 resulted complaint investigation. Of the 12 upgrade or expansion applications 3 resulted from monitoring inspection reports and one from complaint investigation.

#### **Process Management and Planning**

- The Local Management Plan is being reviewed and updated to identify successes and update information. We intended this project to be completed in 2014 but were unsuccessful due to workload issues.
- The Onsite Sewage, Solid Waste and Water Quality Divisions continue to add to our Standard Operating Procedures around enforcement and investigation of complaints and identified problems.
- The Onsite Sewage Division is working on the procedures for all regular practices: permit review and issuance, data entry and reporting, and tracking monitoring inspections and response to necessary corrections. Activities associated with our review of JC Development Review projects such as subdivision and building permits is included in this project.
- Use of the notice to property title for unresolved violations was reviewed by JC legal counsel and presented to the BOH. A fee proposal for removing the notice was approved by the Board of Health. The notice will only be considered after our regular efforts have failed. Funding was approved in one of our grants to pay for the recording fees associated with filing the notice to title.
- Response to complaints and identification of problems is coordinated with staff from the Water Quality Department. We are using both the database as well as a tracking calendar to document communications and assure that all staff can see and understand what the status is on a particular site. The 'complaint/action request' form was revised to ease data input.
- A system to track the response time to complaints was developed but is not consistently used. Staff training is ongoing.

#### **Septic Permit Applications/cases**

- The number of permits received is slightly above 2013, approximately 6% more. We currently have one FTE carrying primary responsibility for all septic permit applications. A sustained increase in the permit load necessitated reallocating staff to maintain timeliness in permit review and issuance. In the fall of 2014 .2 FTE (8 hr/week) was added to the program enabling permitting staff to focus on timely review of applications. Assessment of permit application activity and adding staff resources to the program will be a primary focus of action for 2015 as the current pace is unsustainable by the 1.2 FTE.
- All paper septic case records that could be identified to a parcel have been scanned and are available on the Jefferson County Laserfiche Weblink. These records are used extensively by property owners, onsite sewage professionals, realtors, and interested parties. Staff assists clients in using the site and can also email a file if necessary.

### Jefferson County Public Health Performance Measures 2014 Year-end Report

### ONSITE SEWAGE (OSS) and SEPTIC OPERATIONS & MONITORING (O&M) PROGRAMS

#### **PROGRAM STATISTICS**

PERMITS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 Projected	2014 Actual
# of septic permit applications submitted <sup>1</sup>	231	169	158	118	147	148	160	156
# of evaluations of existing system (EES)	295	255	463	469	511	641	800	781
# of systems repaired/upgraded	32	32	37	31	43	40	45	46
% of system failures less than 5 years in use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of failures/major maintenance	<2%	<2%	<2%	<2%	<2%	<2%	<2%	<4%
# of complaints received	42	43	52	36	20	60	45	52
# of complaints closed*	24	19	33	25	26	25	30	43
# of Installers Certified		37	37	36	34	31	34	31

OPERATION, MAINTANANCE & MONITORING	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 Projected	2014 Actual
% of existing systems receiving regular 3 <sup>rd</sup> party monitoring. <sup>2</sup>	2.20%	1.80%	3.40%	3.40%	3.80%	4.7%	6%	5.1%
# of previously unknown systems having a monitoring inspection	15	9	10+ 59 <sup>5</sup>	15+ 85 <sup>5</sup>	14+935	204	120	69
% of monitoring inspections resulting in some maintenance needed.	29%	28%	56% <sup>4</sup>	68% <sup>4</sup>	59% <sup>4</sup>	63%4	60%	63%4
% of monitoring inspections resulting in required significant maintenance or repair.	12.5	16%	18.70%	12.40%	18.50%	13%	15%	23.3%

EDUCATION AND OUTREACH	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 Projected	2014 Actual
# of educational workshops	6	5	19	4	9	20	13	4
# of workshop participants	239	102	355	156	220	410	300	131

<sup>\*</sup>The number of Closed complaints can be greater than Received because cases from previous years may have been closed during the current year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of applications does not equal approved permits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on estimated 13,500 systems in the County (from the Local Sewage Management Plan)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Includes inspections where the only maintenance item identified was that the tank needed to be pumped. Previous years did not include this maintenance item.